PROMOTING ETHICS IN PUBLIC LIFE **National Legal and Policy Center**



Faxpayers' \$1.4B 'Investment' in Nissan EV May Make Volt Look Good by Comparison

Submitted by Paul Chesser (/bios/paul-chesser) on Fri, 04/06/2012 - 14:00

While General

Printer-friendly (http://nlpc.org/print/3923) Email to friend (http://nlpc.org/printmail/3923)

Motors

http://nlpc.org/category/keywords/general-motors) Chevy Volt (http://nlpc.org/category/keywords/chevyolt) assembly workers are sidelined for five weeks http://www.plugincars.com/chevy-volt-productionuspended-5-weeks-due-lower-expected-demand-13561.html) (and more this summer) because lemand for its strongly hyped electric car



http://nlpc.org/category/keywords/electric-car) is weak, the prospects for its chief rival - Nissan's Leaf (http://nlpc.org/category/keywords/nissan-leaf) - are shaky at est.

Nissan North America, Inc. – a subsidiary of its Japanese parent – is the peneficiary of a \$1.4 billion Advanced Technology Vehicle Manufacturing oan (https://lpo.energy.gov/?projects=nissan-north-america-inc) from the U.S. Department of Energy (http://nlpc.org/category/keywords/department-energy), to convert a plant in Smyrna, Tenn. to produce the Leaf and batteries for it. The project's promoters say the alterations will lead to 1,300 new jobs, enabling Nissan to produce up to 150,000 Leafs and 200,000 battery packs per year, which will lead to the all-important avoidance of 204,000 tons of earbon dioxide emissions - or so they say.

3ut there's just one problem: Sales of the Leaf are not much better than the /olt's have been, and lately have been much worse. In 2011 http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-01-04/gm-s-chevy-volt-misses-2011-sales-target-asafety-probe-goes-on.html) Chevrolet sold 7,671 of its plug-in Volt, whose range s extended with the help of a small gasoline tank. Nissan sold 9,674 of the purely electric Leaf last year. So far through the end of March this year GM 1as delivered 4,095 Volts, while only 1,733 Leafs have been sold.

30 if demand isn't strong enough to keep a GM line running to build the Volt, how can the current level of sales for the Leaf justify the enormous plant investment Nissan is making in Tennessee? USA Today reported http://content.usatoday.com/communities/driveon/post/2012/03/electric-cars-chevroletvolt-fisker-karma-nissan-leaf/1) a few weeks ago that as gasoline prices reach \$4 per gallon, electric vehicles still "face dark days." Industry expert LMC Automotive predicts EV sales will remain below 1 percent through 2017.

Why would this be? Because even with billions of dollars in "investment" rom the government to help <u>Ford (https://lpo.energy.gov/?projects=ford-motor-</u> company), Nissan, Fisker (http://nlpc.org/category/keywords/fisker), Tesla http://nlpc.org/category/keywords/tesla), and The Vehicle Production Group https://lpo.energy.gov/?projects=the-vehicle-production-group-llc) build EVs, and to und companies like Ecotality (http://nlpc.org/category/keywords/ecotality) to build out a charging network <u>at places like Cracker Barrel</u> http://nlpc.org/stories/2011/11/03/country-cookin%E2%80%99-can%E2%80%99twercome-lengthy-ev-charging-times), the technology is impractical for most people. Besides the obvious range anxiety

http://nlpc.org/stories/2011/11/10/nissan-leaf-fails-real-life-test-miserably) experienced by EV drivers, because the batteries don't maintain their charge long enough, there's the problem of lengthy times required to "fill up" again. Even the extremely expensive (\$40,000 each) and hard-to-find "fast-hargers" (440 volt) take 30 minutes to get a Leaf going again for any easonable distance, and most chargers require four to five hours to repoost.

At least the Volt has a small gas engine that extends its range, although its highly subsidized) \$41,000 retail cost is still a lot to overcome for most consumers. But the Leaf is all-electric – no juice, no go, which may be a big eason the Volt has inched past it in sales recently. One EV enthusiast http://nlpc.org/stories/2011/12/29/taxpavers-leaf-four-recharging-stops-needed-go-180-niles) to travel 180 miles last year. Besides the facts that range is reduced even more by using heating and air conditioning http://nlpc.org/stories/2011/11/10/nissan-leaf-fails-real-life-test-miserably), or by lriving on inclines, there is the issue that you can't even depend on its http://nlpc.org/stories/2011/11/17/hollywood-liberals-love-ev-everyone-lse-not-so-much) (the equivalent of a fuel gauge in a gas-powered car).

'I am ready to turn over a new Leaf – my own," <u>wrote</u>
http://www.jewishjournal.com/rob_eshman/article/my_2011_nissan_solyndra_20111026/)
Rob Eshman, editor-in-chief of The Jewish Journal of Greater Los Angeles.

While Nissan CEO <u>Carlos Ghosn (http://nlpc.org/category/people/carlos-ghosn)</u> (pictured) would obviously love to see sales of the Leaf take off, he has said in so many words) that government subsidies <u>are the reason http://nlpc.org/stories/2011/10/24/nissan-exec-promises-record-sales-long-government-ncentives-continue)</u> for his pursuit of EV technology, rather than successes pased upon qualities such as value, styling, safety rankings, or popularity with the purchasing public.

It does not matter if, for example, Portugal stops the incentives, as long as other countries like the United States continue to support," Ghosn <u>told</u> <u>Reuters in October (http://www.reuters.com/article/2011/10/21/renaultdusL5E7LK2G220111021)</u>. "If countries like France, Japan and the UK support and then China, that is about to start to support, that's fine."

The Brazilian-born Frenchman, who also chairs Renault, also does not hide he fact that he supports government control of markets and its attempts to stimulate technologies, no matter the cost.

We must diversify the energy mix used to fuel our vehicles," Ghosn <u>wrote</u> <u>ast month (http://www.forbes.com/sites/joannmuller/2012/03/14/carlos-ghosn-three-vays-carmakers-can-save-the-world/)</u> for *Forbes*. "Petroleum-based fuels now account for 96 percent of the world's automotive energy mix. By mandating argets and requirements at the level of the state, we can increase the mix of enewable fuels."

And, obviously, he believes in the state's expenditure of billions of dollars in EVs. At this week's New York International Auto Show, he repeated his assertion (http://blogs.wsi.com/drivers-seat/2012/04/05/mr-ghosn-takes-manhattan/) hat Nissan's future depended on development of EVs, predicting to the Wall Street Journal, "when we get to 500,000 sales we can be profitable." He believes that goal will be attained in 2015-2016.

Meanwhile an <u>analysis (http://www.bizjournals.com/nashville/blog/2012/04/savings-ome-slowly-for-hybrid.html)</u> of fuel efficiency by the *New York Times* http://www.nytimes.com/2012/04/05/business/energy-environment/for-hybrid-and-lectric-cars-to-pay-off-owners-must-wait.html? r=1) determined that it would take nine years before Leaf owners break even by saving money on gasoline

rersus the extra cost of the EV. That is a dubious assumption, since after hat amount of time all – or a lot of – the depleted battery pack will need to be replaced. Time will tell, but if like most batteries it needs entire replacement, the cost is <u>likely-to-exceed-\$30,000</u>1-753-u/).

Nissan <u>disputes that (http://green.autoblog.com/2011/09/30/nissan-addresses-leaf-attery-life-replacement-costs/)</u>, of course. But is it worth risking the unknown or a vehicle that is only capable of traveling much fewer miles than would in equivalent gas-powered car such as the Nissan Versa or Chevy Cruze?

Not that that matters to Ghosn, since in his view, the purpose of the automobile business is to serve the collective through the manipulations of government.

We have a social responsibility to ensure that this industry grows sustainably," he wrote in his *Forbes* piece, "and if we uphold our responsibility, we will increase the quality of life for everyone on our planet."

Paul Chesser is an associate fellow for the National Legal and Policy Zenter.