1	JOSEPH C. ALM, SBN 294362						
2	TESLA, INC. 901 Page Avenue						
3	Fremont, CA 94538-734 Email: jalm@tesla.com						
4	Phone: (650) 681-5000						
5	CHARLES GRAVES, SBN 197923 Email: tgraves.@wsgr.com						
6	JORDAN R. JAFFE, SBN 254886 Email: jjaffe@wsgr.com						
	JAMIE OTTO, SBN 295099						
7	Email: jotto@wsgr.com MIKAELA BURKHARDT, SBN 3281	12					
8	Email: mburkhardt@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & RO	OSATI					
9	Professional Corporation One Market Plaza						
10	Spear Tower, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94105						
11	Telephone: (415) 947-2000						
12	Facsimile: (415) 947-2099						
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff Tesla, Inc.						
14							
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
17	SAN JOSE DIVISION						
18		11,002221	, 20201				
19	TESLA, INC.,)	Case No.: 5:22-cv-2725				
20	Plaintiff,)	COMPLAINT				
21	V.)	(1) Violation of the Defend Trade Secrets				
22)	Act (18 U.S.C. § 1831 et seq.)				
23	ALEXANDER YATSKOV,)	(2) Violation of the California Uniform				
24	Defendant.)) Trade Secrets Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 3426) et seq.)				
25)	(3) Breach of Contract				
26)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED				
27			· _				
28							

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Tesla, Inc. ("Tesla" or "Plaintiff"), complains and alleges against Defendant Alexander Yatskov ("Yatskov" or "Defendant"), as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a case about illicit retention of trade secrets by an employee who, in his short time at Tesla, already demonstrated a track record of lying and then lying again by providing a "dummy" device to try and cover his tracks. Defendant's effective refusal to return Tesla's confidential information—and lie about it—has left Tesla no choice but to commence this action.
- 2. Defendant was hired on or about January 31, 2022, to work on Tesla's advanced supercomputer for artificial intelligence, named Dojo. Defendant was specifically hired to work as a thermal engineer to help address the technological challenges that come from designing and running a complex, custom supercomputer. But after being hired, Tesla learned that Defendant lied on his resume about his work history and expertise. His work communications became erratic. He was repeatedly unable to complete tasks he was hired for and provided incoherent answers when pressed for explanations.
- 3. Tesla engineers then learned that, in direct contravention of Tesla's policies and repeated instructions from his supervisors, Defendant was removing Tesla confidential information from work devices and accounts, accessing it on his own personal devices, and creating Tesla documents containing confidential Project Dojo details on a personal computer. Tesla uncovered evidence of Defendant emailing confidential Tesla information from his personal email address to his Tesla email address, although it is unclear to Tesla how he exfiltrated the information in the first instance.
- 4. Since Defendant had been repeatedly instructed not to use a personal computer to work on Project Dojo, he was put on administrative leave and asked to bring in his personal devices for forensic imaging to recover Tesla's information. During an interview preceding his administrative leave, Defendant admitted to storing Tesla confidential information on his personal devices.
- 5. Defendant then brought in his alleged personal computer for imaging. But upon inspection, Tesla discovered the computer was *not* the device where Defendant previously

COMPLAINT -2-

admitted using Tesla confidential information. Instead, it was a "dummy" laptop incapable of accessing the relevant information. Moreover, apart from a logon the same morning as the interview, nobody had logged onto the computer since November 2020. And during that lone morning logon, Defendant tried to add in information to make the laptop look like it may have accessed only inoffensive Tesla information, like an offer letter, in an effort to deceive Tesla's information security team. Defendant had lied to Tesla about what device he provided for inspection, effectively refusing to return Tesla's confidential information.

- 6. After providing this "dummy" laptop to try and hide his activity, Defendant resigned from Tesla.
- 7. Faced with this duplications conduct, refusal to cooperate and subsequent exit from Tesla, Tesla is now forced to seek Court relief to safeguard its confidential information. Because Tesla cannot monitor activity outside its network, Tesla does not know whether Defendant took additional files that he had access to during his employment or whether he has shared any of the files he stored on his personal devices with others.
- 8. This action is based on Defendant's: (1) violation of the Defend Trade Secret Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1831, *et seq.*; (2) violation of the California Uniform Trade Secret Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 3426, *et seq.*; and (3) breach of contract.

THE PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff Tesla is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its headquarters located at the Tesla Austin Gigafactory, 13101 Harold Green Road, Austin, Texas 78725. Tesla develops, manufactures, sells, and leases electric vehicles and energy generation and storage systems throughout the United States and abroad.
- 10. Defendant Alexander Yatskov is a former Tesla employee. Upon information and belief, he resides in Manteca, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 18 U.S.C. § 1836(c), as it arises under the federal Defend Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1831 *et seq.*

COMPLAINT -3-

- 12. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under the California Uniform Trade Secret Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 3426, *et seq.* and for breach of contract pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because Tesla's state law claims are so closely related to its federal claim that they form part of the same case and controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 13. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims asserted occurred in this District.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

14. A substantial part of the events and omissions that gave rise to the claims asserted took place in Santa Clara County, California. Thus, pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and (e), this action should be assigned to the San Jose Division of this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Tesla's Trade Secrets and Confidential Information

- Among Tesla's numerous innovations is its development of Dojo, a supercomputer designed in-house at Tesla to help solve difficult engineering problems, such as vehicle autonomy. That effort includes processing massive amounts of data, including video data from Tesla's vehicles and using that data to train neural nets to create autonomous driving software. Dojo was designed to address deficiencies in prior supercomputer platforms with custom chip and hardware designs. Dojo enables faster performance and superior power consumption, together with innovations in scalability. Discussions of the importance of this project to Tesla, as well as its potential, can be found in Tesla's AI Day presentation on August 19, 2021. *See* https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j0z4FweCy4M.
- 16. One important aspect of designing and managing a supercomputer such as Dojo is thermal management. With the massive size and speed of a supercomputer like Dojo comes large amounts of heat as well. Accordingly, Tesla has a team of engineers dedicated to designing cooling systems for Dojo to optimize power, safety, cost and environmental efficiency. Tesla has collected massive amounts of data concerning thermal characteristics of Dojo under various cooling

COMPLAINT -4-

configurations, as well as hardware and software designs for cooling efficiencies. As part of its work routine, the team Defendant worked in runs complex simulations of how different thermal designs affect heat distribution, and in turn, affect the balancing of speed, power, safety, cost and environmental concerns. These thermal designs and data are confidential and tightly guarded within Tesla. This Dojo thermal design and data are referred to as the "Dojo Thermal Data and Designs" or the "Tesla Trade Secrets."

Those like Defendant on the Dojo team have access, not just to thermal-related data,

Developing the Tesla Trade Secrets was expensive and time-consuming. Tesla has

17.

18.

but also to other confidential information concerning the Dojo project.

spent thousands of hours of work to develop the Tesla Trade Secrets based on the cumulative hours spent by its Dojo team over years. The Tesla Trade Secrets are not publicly available and are never shared externally.

19. The Tesla Trade Secrets are extremely valuable to Tesla and would be to a competitor. Access to the Tesla Trade Secrets would enable engineers at other companies to reverse engineer Tesla's Trade Secrets to create similar supercomputer thermal systems in a fraction of the time and with a fraction of the expense it took Tesla to build it. Third-party engineers could not recreate these thermal designs without having Tesla Trade Secrets in the first place. The technology also would inform competitors of Tesla's thermal designs for its supercomputer – providing a roadmap to copy Tesla's innovation.

20. For these reasons, Tesla takes extensive measures to ensure that the Tesla Trade Secrets remain strictly confidential and are never shared externally. The engineers who do have access to the files are not permitted to download them to personal devices or cloud storage.

21. Tesla's engineers also sign a comprehensive set of agreements and policies as a condition of their employment which require them to protect Tesla's confidential information and not to disclose or misuse that information, including the Tesla Trade Secrets. These include an Employee Nondisclosure And Inventions Assignment Agreement ("NDA"), which requires employees to hold Tesla's information "in strictest confidence" and prohibits them from using or disclosing any Tesla "Proprietary Information," including "technical data, trade secrets, know-

COMPLAINT -5-

how, ... plans, designs, ... methods, processes, ... data, programs, ... and other business information."

- 22. The NDA also requires employees, upon termination, to "immediately return to the Company all originals and copies of all hard copy and electronic documents, files and other property of the Company in [their] possession or control or to which [they] have access ... regardless of the storage medium (e.g., internal or external hard drives, solid-state drives, USB flash drives, flash memory cards, and cloud storage)."
- 23. Those on the Dojo project sign an additional NDA, specific to the Dojo project, to safeguard its confidential information and limit access to project information on a need-to-know basis. This included Defendant, who signed that additional agreement on February 16, 2022.
- 24. Tesla secures its physical facilities by restricting access to authorized personnel and then monitoring actual access with security guards and cameras. Visitors to Tesla's facilities must check in with a receptionist or security, sign a nondisclosure agreement, and submit to a photograph. Visitors must also always be escorted by a Tesla employee while at the facilities.
- 25. Tesla further protects its trade secrets by using password-protected and firewall-protected networks and servers that are only accessible to current Tesla employees with proper credentials.
- 26. Tesla also has an Information Security team that monitors its systems for suspicious activity, including unauthorized downloading of confidential information.

Defendant Alexander Yatskov Promises to Protect Tesla's Trade Secrets and Confidential Information as a Condition of His Employment at Tesla

- 27. On or about January 31, 2022, Tesla hired Defendant Alexander Yatskov as a Principal Thermal Engineer.
- 28. Among Defendant's assigned responsibilities was to help develop and improve thermal management solutions for Project Dojo. As part of that work, Defendant could run simulations to assess thermal system designs for Tesla's Dojo supercomputer. Like other Project Dojo employees, Tesla expected him to work at Tesla's Fremont, California offices.

COMPLAINT -6-

- 29. As part of his employment, Tesla provided Defendant with both a laptop and desktop to perform his work.
- 30. As a condition of his employment, Defendant signed and agreed to abide by the terms of the NDA as well as a Dojo-specific NDA.

Defendant's Theft of Tesla's Trade Secrets and Attempts to Conceal His Misconduct

- 31. On May 2, 2022, after being put on administrative leave, Defendant resigned from Tesla. His last day at Tesla was April 6, 2022.
- 32. As described above, when confronted by Tesla's information security team, Defendant eventually admitted he downloaded Tesla confidential information from his Tesla accounts and devices to his personal devices.
- 33. But Defendant has refused to return Tesla's confidential information and lied to Tesla about it. Specifically, Defendant agreed to provide his phone and his personal device where he admitted transferring Tesla confidential information. The device Defendant provided, however, was not the device described. Instead, it was a "dummy" device. Other than the same day he gave it to Tesla, nobody had logged onto it since November 2020. And during that lone morning logon, Defendant tried to add in information to make the laptop look like it may have accessed only inoffensive Tesla information, like an offer letter, in an effort to deceive Tesla's information security team. By this misconduct, Defendant has effectively refused to return Tesla's confidential information and attempted to hide that refusal. Defendant knew he was providing a "dummy" device to Tesla in order to hide his activities because he had logged onto the device the earlier that same morning.
- 34. On information and belief, Tesla has not uncovered all of Defendant's theft. Defendant's track record of lying and providing a "dummy" computer raises grave concerns that he continues to misappropriate Tesla's Trade Secrets. On information and belief, Defendant has indeed further used and/or disseminated that information.

COMPLAINT -7-

First Cause of Action

(Violation of the Defend Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1831 et seq.)

- 35. Tesla re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 34 of this Complaint.
- 36. As set forth above, Defendant misappropriated files revealing Dojo Thermal Data and Designs constituting "trade secrets" under the Defend Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1831 *et seq.* Tesla is the owner of these Tesla Trade Secrets.
- 37. The Tesla Trade Secrets relate to the design, development, and manufacturing, of products and services used in, and intended for use in, interstate and foreign commerce.
- 38. The Tesla Trade Secrets derive independent economic value from not being generally known to the public, to Tesla's competitors, or to other persons who can obtain economic value from the disclosure or use of the information.
- 39. The Tesla Trade Secrets are not readily ascertainable through proper means or from generally available, public sources.
- 40. At all relevant times, Tesla has made reasonable efforts to protect and preserve the secrecy of the Tesla Trade Secrets.
- 41. Defendant misappropriated the Tesla Trade Secrets within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1839(5) by, *inter alia*, knowingly acquiring the Tesla Trade Secrets through improper means, and disclosing and/or using the Tesla Trade secrets without Tesla's express or implied consent.
- 42. Defendant knew or had reason to know that, at the time he accessed, downloaded and used the Tesla Trade Secrets, this information was acquired and obtained by improper means and/or under circumstances giving rise to a duty to maintain secrecy or limit use, and that he did not have Tesla's express or implied consent to do so.
- 43. Defendant acquired the Tesla Trade Secrets by virtue of his employment with Tesla, not through his own independent research and efforts, in direct violation of his legal obligations to Tesla.

COMPLAINT -8-

- 44. On information and belief, Defendant failed to fully delete or return the Tesla Trade Secrets that he misappropriated and continues to use or disclose the Tesla Trade Secrets without Tesla's consent.
- 45. On information and belief, Defendant has gained or will gain substantial benefit from his misappropriation of the Tesla Trade Secrets, to Tesla's substantial detriment.
- 46. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, the Tesla Trade Secrets have been compromised, and Tesla is substantially threatened by Defendant's further use and/or dissemination of that information.
- 47. As a direct, proximate, and foreseeable result of Defendant's misappropriation of the Tesla Trade Secrets, Tesla has been damaged in an amount not yet ascertained.
- 48. Defendant's unlawful actions were willful and malicious, and with the deliberate intent to injure Tesla's business, thereby entitling Tesla to exemplary damages and/or attorneys' fees in an amount to be proven at trial pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1836(b)(3)(D).
- 49. Tesla is entitled to an order requiring Defendant, his agents, and all persons acting in concert with him, from using or disclosing, or threatening to use or disclose, the Tesla Trade Secrets, and restraining Defendant from obtaining any benefit from his wrongful possession and use of the Tesla Trade Secrets. Unless enjoined by this Court, said misappropriation of the Tesla Trade Secrets, actual or threatened, will cause great and irreparable injury to Tesla. Tesla has no adequate or other remedy at law for such acts and threatened acts.

Second Cause of Action

(Violation of California's Uniform Trade Secrets Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 3426 et seq.)

- 50. Tesla re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 49 of this Complaint.
- 51. As set forth above, Defendant misappropriated files revealing Dojo Thermal Data and Designs constituting "trade secrets" under the California Uniform Trade Secrets Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 3426, *et seq.* Tesla is the owner of these Tesla Trade Secrets.

COMPLAINT -9-

- 52. The Tesla Trade Secrets derive independent economic value from not being generally known to the public, to Tesla's competitors, or to other persons who can obtain economic value from disclosure or use of the information.
- 53. At all relevant times, Tesla has made reasonable efforts to protect and preserve the secrecy of the Tesla Trade Secrets.
- 54. Defendant misappropriated the Tesla Trade Secrets within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 3426.1(b) by, *inter alia*, knowingly acquiring the Tesla Trade Secrets through improper means, and disclosing and/or using the Tesla Trade secrets without Tesla's express or implied consent.
- 55. Defendant knew or had reason to know that, at the time he accessed, downloaded and used the Tesla Trade Secrets, this information was acquired and obtained by improper means and/or under circumstances giving rise to a duty to maintain secrecy or limit use, and that he did not have Tesla's express or implied consent to do so.
- 56. Defendant acquired the Tesla Trade Secrets by virtue of his employment with Tesla, not through his own independent research and efforts, in direct violation of his legal obligations to Tesla.
- 57. On information and belief, Defendant failed to fully delete or return the Tesla Trade Secrets that he misappropriated, and continues to use or disclose the Tesla Trade Secrets without Tesla's consent.
- 58. On information and belief, Defendant has gained, or will gain, substantial benefit from his misappropriation of the Tesla Trade Secrets, to Tesla's substantial detriment.
- 59. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, the Tesla Trade Secrets have been compromised, and Tesla is substantially threatened by Defendant's further use and/or dissemination of that information.
- 60. As a direct, proximate, and foreseeable result of Defendant's misappropriation of the Tesla Trade Secrets, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount not yet ascertained.
- 61. Defendant's unlawful actions were willful and malicious, and with the deliberate intent to injure Tesla's business, thereby entitling Tesla to exemplary damages pursuant to Cal.

COMPLAINT -10-

Civ. Code § 3426.3(c) and/or attorneys' fees in an amount to be proven at trial pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3246.4.

62. Tesla is entitled to an order requiring Defendant, his agents, and all persons acting in concert with him, from using or disclosing, or threatening to use or disclose, the Tesla Trade Secrets, and restraining Defendant from obtaining any benefit from his wrongful possession and use of the Tesla Trade Secrets. Unless enjoined by this Court, said misappropriation of the Tesla Trade Secrets, actual or threatened, will cause great and irreparable injury to Tesla. Tesla has no adequate or other remedy at law for such acts and threatened acts.

Third Cause of Action

(Breach of Contract)

- 63. Tesla re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 62 of this Complaint.
- 64. As a condition of his employment with Tesla, Defendant signed and agreed to abide by the terms of the NDAs between himself and Tesla, which prohibited Defendant from, among other things, using or disclosing the Tesla Trade Secrets.
- 65. Tesla fully complied with and fulfilled its obligation under the NDAs by, among other things, employing Defendant.
- 66. While employed by Tesla, Defendant breached the NDAs by, without authorization, accessing, downloading, transmitting, creating, and retaining files containing the Tesla Trade Secrets on a personal device.
- 67. Tesla has sustained and will sustain damages as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of contract.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Tesla prays for judgment in its favor and against Defendant Alexander Yatskov, inclusive as follows:

1. Granting temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief against Defendant, and any persons in active concert or participation with him: (i) enjoining Defendant from obtaining, retaining, using, transmitting, disseminating, or disclosing the Tesla Trade Secrets;

COMPLAINT -11-

Case 5:22-cv-02725-SVK Document 1 Filed 05/06/22 Page 12 of 13

(ii) requiring Defendant to immediately return all Tesla equipment, tangible materials, and information that remain in Defendant's possession, custody, or control; (iii) ordering Defendant to identify, and turn over, any property in his possession, custody, or control containing or reflecting the Tesla Trade Secrets, including hard copy documents or any form of electronic storage media; (iv) ordering Defendant to identify any other persons, entities, or locations not within his possession, custody, or control, to which Defendant has transmitted, disseminated, disclosed, or stored any Tesla Trade Secrets; and (v) any other appropriate injunctive relief; 2. Awarding compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial; 3. Awarding exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at trial; 4. Awarding interest at the maximum legal rate on all sums awarded; 5. Awarding reasonable attorneys' fees as permitted by law; Awarding all costs of suit herein; and 6. 7. Awarding such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Tesla demands a jury trial on all triable issues.

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Dated: May 6, 2022 /s/ Joseph C. Alm

JOSEPH C. ALM, SBN 294362

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

COMPLAINT -12-

Tesla, Inc.

901 Page Avenue Fremont, CA 94538-734

Email: jalm@tesla.com Phone: (650) 681-5000

Case 5:22-cv-02725-SVK Document 1 Filed 05/06/22 Page 13 of 13

- 1	
1	
2	CHARLES GRAVES, SBN 197923 Email: tgraves.@wsgr.com
3	JORDAN R. JAFFE, SBN 254886 Email: jjaffe@wsgr.com
4	JAMIE OTTO, SBN 295099
5	Email: jotto@wsgr.com MIKAELA BURKHARDT, SBN 328112
6	Email: mburkhardt@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
7	Professional Corporation
8	One Market Plaza Spear Tower, Suite 3300
	San Francisco, CA 94105
9	Telephone: (415) 947-2000 Facsimile: (415) 947-2099
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	Tesla, Inc.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 27	
28	

COMPLAINT -13-

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

Case 5:22-cv-02725-6) Will Decompare 1sing Figure 05/06/22 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	THONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FORM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	S		
TESLA, INC.			ALEXANDER YATSKOV			
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff A	lameda County	County of Residence	e of First Listed Defendant	San Joaquin County	
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)	
			NOTE: IN LAND C THE TRAC	CONDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number	r)	Attorneys (If Known))		
(SEE ATTACHN	/IENT)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)			(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
1 U.S. Government	x 3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only)) PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government l	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 Incorporated or lof Business In		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place 5 5	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Groeign Nation	6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			_	Click here for: Nature of		
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act	
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal	376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	690 Other	28 USC 157 INTELLECTUAL	3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgmen	t Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		820 Copyrights 830 Patent	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal		835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		New Drug Application	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY		840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	Act of 2016	(15 USC 1681 or 1692)	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	720 Labor/Management	SOCIAL SECURITY	485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	Relations	861 HIA (1395ff)	490 Cable/Sat TV	
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	285 Property Damage Product Liability	740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical	862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
	Medical Malpractice	1 Todact Elability	Leave Act	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act	
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General		or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of	
	Employment	Other:	462 Naturalization Application	on	Agency Decision	
	Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights	465 Other Immigration Actions		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition	T TOUGHS		Said Statutes	
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of				
		Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	**					
" " 1		Remanded from Appellate Court		ferred from 6 Multidis er District Litigatio fy) Transfer	n - Litigation -	
VI CAUSE OF ACTIO	18 U.S.C. Section 1831		filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	atutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca		ployment with Plaintiff and has m	isappropriated Plaintiff's trade s	secrets.	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		CHECK YES onl JURY DEMANI	y if demanded in complaint: Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)					
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER _		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO				
05/06/2022		/s/ Joseph Alm (CA SE	BN 294362)			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	МАС. Л	UDGE	

ATTACHMNENT TO CIVIL COVER SHEET JS 44

JOSEPH C. ALM, SBN 294362 TESLA, INC. 901 Page Avenue Fremont, CA 94538-734

Email: jalm@tesla.com Phone: (650) 681-5000

CHARLES GRAVES, SBN 197923

Email: tgraves.@wsgr.com

JORDAN R. JAFFE, SBN 254886

Email: jjaffe@wsgr.com JAMIE OTTO, SBN 295099 Email: jotto@wsgr.com

MIKAELA BURKHARDT, SBN 328112

Email: mburkhardt@wsgr.com

WILSON SONSINI GOÖDRICH & ROSATI

Professional Corporation

One Market Plaza

Spear Tower, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 947-2000 Facsimile: (415) 947-2099