## BAY AREA RED LIGHT CAMERAS MAY BE OPERATED BY ORGANIZED CRIME GROUP BRIBING PUBLIC OFFICIALS

REDFLEX is under federal and media investigation for RICO Law violating national organized crime operations. Class-Action and RICO Lawsuits should be filed against REDFLEX and any public official or County who promotes them!

Every public official in the news reports, who has come forth, as a proponent of Redflex camera systems now has all of their assets and gains under investigation, since 2 years prior to the date their County signed contracts with Redflex. The FBI has been arresting officials they caught in Redflex bribery activities. A large number of public officials who have gone ON-THE-RECORD supporting REDFLEX automated robot camera systems have turned out to be receiving profits from those systems. Every public official who has spoken to the news or in "town halls" favoring REDFLEX is under suspicion and the public now has internet-connected access to CIA-Class and FBI-Class forensic software to track their assets, movements and payola. "DARK MONEY" IS THE WAY THAT CORRUPT POLITICAL CRIMINALS EXCHANGE COMPENSATION, BRIBES AND INFLUENCE. This is about a group of tech oligarchs, and their corrupt Senators, who commit crimes in order to manipulate over a trillion tax dollars (YOUR MONEY) into their, and their friends pockets.

They are felons yet they control some of the offices of the agencies who are supposed to arrest them. Silicon Valley bought K Street and U.S. Senators, gave them more Dark Money than history has ever seen and then had giant tech-law firms bribe, hit-job and blockade any attempts to solve the problem.

Some of the largest bribes in American history were paid via billions of dollars of pre-IPO cleantech stock, insider trading, real estate, Google search engine rigging and shadow-banning, sex workers, revolving door jobs, nepotism, state-supported black-listing of competitors and under-the-table cash.

Council members, Mayor's, supervisors, department heads and public officials are being bribed with:

- Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC

- Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging and shadow-banning which is never reported to the FEC

- Free rent
- Prostitutes and Rent Boys
- Cars
- Dinners
- Party Financing
- Sports Event Tickets
- Campaign Services "Donations"

- Secret PAC Financing

- Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Who Take Bribes, Themselves

- "Consulting" contracts from McKinsey as fronted pay-off gigs
- Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-offs conduited for donors
- Private jet rides and use of Government fuel depots (ie: Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff)
- Real Estate
- The use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related laundering accounts
- The use of HSBC, Wells Fargo and Deustche Bank money laundering accounts
- Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by corporations

- Use of high tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington & Burling, etc. to conduit bribes to officials.

These parties appear to have exploited taxpayer government resources to line their pockets at tax payer expense as proven by finance reports, FEC filings, Congressional studies, Panama Papers, espionage journalism, state election reporting forms, Goldman Sachs and ICIJ Swiss Leaks documents and journalists, on-staff whistle-blowers, covert accounts revelations, forensic audits, Congressional action comparison charts and other evidence. Connected on XKEYSCORE, FBI, Palantir, Linkedin and other investigative databases. Confirmed in cross-over financial dealings and transfers. Sourced as beneficiaries and financiers of the activities.

## THE BOTTOM LINE: "Promote Redflex As A Public Official And Call A Public, FBI and Agency Investigation Down On Your Head"

Redflex is considered to be a taxpayer resource and/or is operating on behalf of a taxpayer resource agencies.

It is possible that Redflex staff manipulated their videos to harm Plaintiffss at the request of public officials who are under bribery investigation.

There are multiple FBI investigations and FBI charges underway against Redflex for bribery.

The name on some Plaintiffss Citations: "<u>Brian Peagler</u>" is the same name as that of a person caught using digital photography to blackmail police officials according to the San Francisco Chronicle (Matier & Ross). This adds suspicion to the factors in all ticket cases involving that name.

FBI, CIA and other investigative contacts can, and will prove, under court order, how easy it is to manipulate, time-shift, time compress, face change, frame-skip, "deep Fake" and otherwise manipulate

Redflex videos. Such video manipulations could be undertaken by corrupt officials if they wished to target, in reprisal certain red light ticket victims.

Many of the photos of the "drivers" do not seem to match the Plaintiffss with exactitude and could be harvested from other cameras at non-violated intersections to "stage the ticket" for profit or reprisal.

Evidence that is hearsay can be objected to and barred from being used in court, making the case against Plaintiffs extremely weak if not non-existent. At a minimum we wish to emphasize to the court that the information being presented was not produced by anyone present in the courtroom and that such evidence is to be discounted highly because of such. We wish to mention that the information being shown in court is from a **financially interested party** - that is, they make money if Plaintiffss are found guilty. That party also has a reasonable risk of being approached with bribery offers to seek to harm Plaintiffss. The camera company has been arrested by the FBI in the past for engaging in bribery activities and there may, or may not, still be ongoing FBI investigations of the camera operations company and their associates, marketing services and County contract officials.

FBI, CIA and other investigative contacts can, and will prove, under court order, how easy it is for a U.S. Senator, Congress-person or technology oligarch to pay Redflex staff to track a person, anywhere in the USA and "hot-point" them when they engage in a traffic maneuver as a political reprisal tactic in violation of the Constitutional rights of citizens.

Multiple news investigators and anti-red-light-robot groups have charged that Redflex is paying bribes to San Mateo officials. Bribes may be in the form of payments to other agencies, jobs, stock warrants, cash, campaign funds, search engine rigging and other "Dark Money". This is of concern as it calls into question every case involving Redflex.

Operating Temperature - Temperature can affect the operation of most electronics, especially senstive electronic sensors. As a result, electronics must be certified to operate at the temperatures in which they are operated. Is there any information about what temperature the sensors, the processing unit, and the camera unit was operating at when the data was collected? What temperature range are those components certified to operate at? The area had just suffered historically bad rain-storms. Could that have affected this equipment. If temperature was not recorded for all of the components, and/or the equipment is not certified for operation at those temperatures and weather extremes, and/or the certified temperature range information is not available, we would demand that the charges be dropped because there can be no certainty that the equipment was operating properly. Operating temperature inside of units in an enclosure is going to be higher than the ambient temperature for the day. Please Do NOT use ambient temperature references!

For Discovery - In order to defend Plaintiffss properly all Plaintiffss are going to need to:

a) subpoena everyone and anyone who touched the photo or evidence.

b) request blueprints and schematics for all equipment

c) request installation blueprints and specifications and for all equipment

d) request a copy of all maintenance logs and calibration data

e) require the bank accounts, stock brokerage accounts, real estate records, off-shore records and all other records of all City, County and State officials who may have received a bribe from RedFlex, targets of federal investigations, or their marketing and legal intermediaries

Please clarify the SMPD position on the signal timing at that intersection on that date. What are the legal limits and standards for the length of yellow lights in that area? Some technicians set the yellow

light times lower in able to generate more revenue. Was this done at that intersection? Does that data compare exactly to that of the intersection's yellow light per the 20 closest other surrounding intersections?

Since no one is monitoring the machine, there's a good likelihood that the machine was malfunctioning. When a human cop stops a speeder, they first visually estimate the speed and then confirm it with their radar equipment. They won't pull you over if there's a discrepancy. With photo-enforcement, there is no redundancy and no active monitoring so no one can be certain that the machine reading is correct. As a surveillance engineer, Plaintiffs has seen unreliable electronics that that worked fine one moment, but worked badly the next. Everybody has owned something like this. This could be what happened when Plaintiffs was flashed by the machine, and that the city/state lacks evidence to prove that their machine was working properly at the precise moment of the citation - despite the fact that it may have been checked or calibrated hours, days, or weeks before or after. No one was there to see anything, so no one knows with confidence that the machine was working at that moment in time, even though it may have worked fine later that day. The machines are not 100% accurate and flawless. Any person from Redflex making such a claim must be held on charges of perjury, as no machine like this is 100% accurate. If an officer is present in the court-room the Court must ask the officer about his experience with radar, how often he got spurious readings. Most handheld units provide bad readings fairly frequently, proving that equipment does malfunction.

If the equipment did not malfunction then the video could have been easily manipulated using NVIDIA face manipulation technology and Adobe Premiere editing procedures. Bounties have been offered, by public officials caught up in FBI investigations, for manipulated attacks against some Plaintiffss.

The Court must always remember the for-profit motive of the photo equipment operators? In order to make more money they're likely to modify and make-up evidence to generate more revenue. They will certainly do this if they are bribed to do so or if their County bosses are bribed to do so. There's one thing that anyone with a computer and PhotoShop knows: it's very easy to convincingly alter a video with Deep Fake technology any 14 year old can now download. Even though the photo or video may have been encrypted or may have a special code on it to "ensure its authenticity," in the end, Redflex can take any photo, modify it, and print it out just like the print-outs they'll be showing in court. The Court must always remember that corrupt operators will always consider that data crime is worth doing if there's money to be made or if a corrupt administrator orders them to manipulate the data.

Please provide the regulations and confirmations proving that all of the intersections were properly signed. A number of other victims have noted that the very small yellow sign at the intersection of Hillsdale in San mateo, showing a right hand turn could be interpreted as offering guidance to proceed actively through the right hand turn. That yellow sign is unusually small compared to all other signs at the intersection and seems designed more to confuse than to clarify.

Please confirm the authenticity of the maintenance logs. Is the person who maintained the unit available for questioning? How do we know the maintenance was performed and that the technician didn't take a long lunch hour instead? Has a third party recently verified the accuracy of the machine? What is the educational background of the technician? Are they a certified electronics technician?

We have been provided with thousands of articles and reports from FBI-class investigators, 60 Minutes-class reporters and community sites around the globe regarding Redflex. Certainly the Court has seen all of these articles as Redflex has become notorious around the globe. Red Light Camera operators have arrested for DUI while on duty. Malfunctioning Redflex machines are widely covered in the news and at Red Light sites.

The CIA, Fusion GPS, FBI, Black Cube and others, claim to be able to purchase Redflex data from Axciom and other commercial concerns. If any citizen's data can be acquired, purchased, hacked, leaked or otherwise acquired from Redflex, it is a SEVERE violation of the legal and Constitutional rights of every citizen in America. What proof will be provided by the Court and Redflex, to Plaintiffs, that this data and privacy risk is as *"impossible"* as Redflex claims?

Additional factors are available evidenced in the many lawsuits against Redflex visible at <u>www.pacer.gov</u>, the vast number of negative news articles about Redflex and the vast number of Red Light Camera investigation groups and ACLU declarations across the US and overseas.